

Annex 5 to the Call DGV02 – Selection criteria (incl. the scoring sheet)

1. FORMAL COMPLIANCE CRITERIA

No.	Control question	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
1.	Was the Project Application delivered by the deadline, using the standard template and method of delivery?			-	
2.	Are the mandatory attachments attached to the Project Application?			-	
3.	Has the applicant submitted the missing information/rectified identified shortcomings within the set deadline? ¹				

2. ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

No.	Control question	Yes	No	Comments
1.	Are the applicant and its partner(s) eligible?			
2.	Does the application have at least one project partner from among the entities listed as "eligible partners"?			
3.	Is at least one of the project partners or the applicant a counselling centre or a shelter meeting the required standards ^{2, 3} ?			
4.	Was the maximum and minimum limit of the Grant applied for complied?			

Project Applications not meeting Formal Compliance Criterion no 1, no 3 or any of the Eligibility Criteria shall be rejected.

¹ Relevant in case the Programme Operator requested the submission of missing information/rectify shortcomings.

² The standards are set out in in the Council of Europe document "Combating Violence against Women: Minimum Standards for Support Services", which are adapted to the conditions of the Slovak Republic by the Coordinating Methodical Centre (the required standards are hereinafter referred to as **European Standards**).

³ An informative list of social services providers meeting the required European standards is listed in Annex 5 of this Call.

3. CONTENT RELATED CRITERIA

No.	Criterion	Description	Range	Score	Comments
1. Importance of the project and its contribution to the issue (a total of 70 points)					
		<p>1a) The project includes a local awareness-raising campaign as well as a local educational campaign contributing to the outcome of the programme implemented by a counselling centre or a shelter. (eliminating criterion) (0 – 1).</p> <p>Up to 11 additional points can be awarded, if those campaigns:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • are necessary and needed for the target area and the target group and the project includes proper justification of the needs of the region and the target group, • are in full compliance with the aims of the Programme, • are attractive for the target group(s), • are innovative. 	0 - 12		
		<p>1b) The intervention team consisting of the stakeholders involved in the project (counselling centres, shelters, police, local Office of Labour, Social Affairs and Family, municipality, higher territorial unit and other institutions relevant to the solving of the issue) meets the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • already has a certain history (0 - 3) • includes multilevel and multi-stakeholder cooperation⁴ (0-5) • team composition and planned cooperation are meaningful and efficient (0 - 5) 	0 - 13		

⁴ Multilevel cooperation means partnership between various levels of public administration (national, regional, district, local). Multi-stakeholder cooperation means partnership between organizations from different sectors (public sector, non-governmental sector, private sector, etc.).

<p>1c) The number of counselling centres or shelters supported within the project in total is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4 and more – 4 points • 2 - 3 – 2 points • 1 – 0 points <p>If counselling centre and shelter are supported at the same time within the project, even if they are operated by the same provider of social services, two (2) points shall be added in addition.</p> <p>If a facility of a new shelter or counselling centre is a part of the project, up to four (4) additional points at most shall be added (according to the benefit, including the geographical location of the services).</p>	0 - 10		
<p>1d) Number and variety of activities carried out by the facility⁵ supported under the project. Planned activities in the project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • meet the specific conditions for the provision of the project grant (0 – 1) (eliminating criterion) • are appropriate, accessible and their quality is assured (0 – 5), • sustainable. (0 – 4) <p>Facilities supported within the project also provide other than mandatory types of support activities - 0 - 5 points It shall be assessed according to the number, type and necessity of the optional support activities, as well as the coverage of the optional support activities in the facilities involved in the project.</p> <p>If services specialised for survivors of sexual violence are a part of the project up to four (4)</p>	0 - 19		

⁵ Facilities of counselling centres/shelter

additional points at most shall be added (according to the benefit of the services, including preparedness and quality of the services).			
1e) The readiness of supported counselling centres or shelters to work with children and the planned rate of enhanced conditions in facilities in terms of children's needs. The quantity, diversity and necessity of extended services provided to children will be assessed.	0 - 10		
1f) Outreach is also a part of the project activities. The process of carrying out outreach is described in sufficient detail in the project, including networking with local stakeholders for this purpose. The diversity and effectiveness of the planned outreach method will be assessed.	0 - 6		
2) Project structure, risks, activities and outcomes (a total of 12 points)			
The proposed time schedule for project implementation is clear, realistic and corresponds to the content and needs of the project - it clearly defines the process of implementation of individual activities and outcomes.	0 - 4		
The logical framework of the project clearly outlines the relevance of the project in relation to the Programme, specifying the indicators and methods of their verification (outcomes, outputs, objectives). The baseline and target indicator values are realistic; the indicators are quantifiable and objectively measurable in terms of quantity and time. The resources for verification are specified in the project and will be available and appropriate for verification of the baseline and target indicator values. The overall objective of the project is in line with the result / outcome of the Programme. The structure of the project, activities, outputs and outcomes is clearly defined. The activities are interconnected.	0 - 3		
Project risks are clearly defined and relevant, including a proposal of risk elimination and mitigation measures.	0 - 3		

The risk connected with the potential situation when the COVID-19 pandemic will still not be over at the time of project implementation has been duly taken into account during the project development and the ways in which the project will mitigate the risks related to it have been appropriately considered as a part of the project risks.			
Project publicity is suitably set up, with a communication strategy and an adequate budget.	0 - 2		
3) Cost-effectiveness and sustainability (a total of 12 points)			
The detailed budget is indicated for the entire project period. The indicated budget items are necessary for the implementation of the project activities and the indicated amounts are reasonable. Unit prices are adequate and correspond to prices that are usual in the local market.	0 - 4		
Total project costs are adequate to the expected results.	0 - 4		
The proposed activities have the potential for long-term contribution and development of further activities.	0 - 4		
4) Specific requirements (a total of 6 points)			
The project contributes to strengthening of bilateral cooperation with Norwegian partners: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the cooperation also includes a bilateral exchange of experts in the field of child victims of violence (0 - 2 points) the cooperation also includes a seminar focused on sharing experiences with the provision of services to minorities (0 - 2 points) bilateral cooperation will continue after project completion - throughout the sustainability period - (0 - 2 points) 	0 - 6		

	Total score (of maximum 100 points)		
	Recommendation	Recommended / Not recommended	
	Substantive comments		

Eliminating criteria are considered as being of special importance as regards the successful implementation and timely completion of the project. The Selection Committee shall be notified and may recommend special measures to be taken in order to mitigate the risk, incl. the recommendation not to support the project.

Purely objective criteria are criteria that must be, under normal circumstances, scored the same by the independent experts. The Programme Operator may ask the independent experts for clarification in case the score awarded for this criterion differs.

Projects receiving less than 50 points (in average) shall not be supported.